Scientific Overview of Mars Sample Return

Philip Christensen

NOTE ADDED BY JPL WEBMASTER: This document was prepared by Arizona State University. The content has not been approved or adopted by, NASA, JPL, or the California Institute of Technology. This document is being made available for information purposes only, and any views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of NASA, JPL, or the California Institute of Technology.
Decadal Survey Mars Panel Members

- 13 Members
  - Represent science from core to atmosphere
- Philip Christensen (ASU) Chair
- Wendy Calvin (UNR) Vice-Chair
- Ray Arvidson (Washington Univ.)
- Bobby Braun (Georgia Tech)
- Glenn Cunningham (Consultant)
- Dave DesMarais (NASA - Ames)
- Linda Elkins-Tanton (MIT)
- François Forget (Univ. Paris)
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Compelling Reasons To Explore Mars

- Many of the key questions in *solar system science* can be addressed effectively at Mars:
  - Solar system history
  - Planetary evolution
  - Potential for life
- Mars provides the opportunity to approach, and possibly answer, origin and evolution of life questions
  - Clear potential for past and possibly present biological activity
- Mars has a well-preserved record of its climate and geologic evolution exposed at the surface
  - A comparable record of ancient planetary processes, including those possibly leading to the origin of life, exists on no other terrestrial planet, including Earth
- Mars is the most accessible place in the solar system where these highest-priority science questions can be addressed
  - A well-executed program has brought us to where the next major step in exploration can be taken
Astrobiological Focus Has Emerged

- Previous NRC studies have placed high priority on the science that can be accomplished by the analysis of samples returned from Mars.
- In addition to in situ analyses, it is now recognized that addressing astrobiological questions also requires intensive and iterative analyses that can only be done on Earth.
  
  “The results from an ensemble of all of the relevant methodologies, combined with considerations of geological and environmental plausibility, will likely provide the best evidence for the presence or absence of life in a sample.”

  From the 2007 An Astrobiology Strategy for the Exploration of Mars

- Exploration of Mars over the last 15 years has followed the logical flow laid out in the 1995 Mars Exobiology Strategy and endorsed most recently in the 2007 Astrobiology Strategy for the Exploration of Mars:
  - Identify sites of interest from orbit
  - Explore role of water on the ground
  - Investigate habitability
- Approach has matured from strategy of “Follow-the-Water” to “Search for Evidence of Life”.

There is consensus in Mars community that the return of a carefully selected, diverse suite of samples from a well-characterized site will make the greatest progress at this point in Mars exploration.


**ND-SAG/iMARS MSR Sample Requirements**

- **Sample diversity**
  - Similarities and differences between samples is as important as the absolute characterization of a single sample. *Identifying and selecting samples that are different from each other is crucial.*

- **Acceptable sample size/mass**
  - The optimal sample size for rock samples is ~10 grams
  - ~30 gm regolith samples

- **Number of samples**
  - Rock samples: ~20
  - Regolith samples: several
  - Dust sample (if collectable): 1
  - Gas sample: 1

- **No requirement to study samples on Mars**

- **Sample preservation needs**
  - Retain pristine nature of samples (avoid excess heating, organic and inorganic contamination.)
  - Samples packaged to ensure that they do not become contaminated or mixed

**SOURCE:** ND-SAG (2008), iMARS (2008)
# Achieving the Science Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional Requirements</th>
<th>Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Access to outcrops at a site with diverse mineralogy, some with the potential for biosignature preservation</td>
<td>Orbital reconnaissance for site selection; access thru mobility and Entry/Descent/Landing capability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2. Ability to select samples | *~20 samples totaling 300+ gm*
| Locate samples | Visible and IR imaging |
| Determine rock textures & detailed context | Microscopic imagery and weathered rind removal |
| Differentiate rock types | Mineralogy & bulk elemental abundance |
| Detect organic carbon (if present) | Spectroscopic detection |
| 3. Ability to cache samples and return them to a suitable facility on Earth | Acquisition & encapsulation in suitable, retrievable cache |
| 4. Analyze Samples | Mars Returned Sample Handling (MRSIH) Facility |

Opportunity Pancam false color image of three RAT holes on the slope of Endurance Crater.

*NASA/JPL/CIT/Cornell University*
Why MSR, and not in situ?

There are three primary reasons why MSR is of such high value compared to in situ alternatives.

1. Complex sample preparation, including sample-related decision-making
2. Many critical lab-based instruments are large/complex
3. Instrument diversity. Investigation pathways are not hypothesis-constrained
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Mars Mission Objectives for Next Decade

- Trace Gas Orbiter
  - Highly focused science objectives
  - Joint ESA-NASA mission
- Begin sample return campaign
  - MAX-C rover
    - Focused on collecting high-quality sample return cache
    - Modest suite of high-heritage, low-risk instruments
    - 300 kg, MER-like, solar powered, medium traverse rover
  - ESA ExoMars
    - Exploring possibility of pathfinding seismic experiment
- Develop key sample return technologies
- Move toward completion of sample return
- Develop geophysical/atmospheric network
Panel meetings in Sept, Nov. 2009. Next meeting April 14-16 in Boulder.

Attended MEPAG (Fall 09, next week), AGU, AbSciCon

MEPAG in its 10th year – building on strategic missions considered through MEPAG SAGs, past decadal priorities, and new missions with large community support.

65 Mars related white papers, 15 invited presentations at panel meetings, for others, the intellectual content incorporated into Mars chapter.

Tall tent poles: technology for the MSR campaign.