

# Mars in the Planetary Decadal Survey

Steve Squyres  
Cornell University  
Chairman, Planetary Science Decadal Survey

NOTE ADDED BY JPL WEBMASTER: This content has not been approved or adopted by, NASA, JPL, or the California Institute of Technology. This document is being made available for information purposes only, and any views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of NASA, JPL, or the California Institute of Technology.

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL  
OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

# The Situation

- Mars sample caching was the highest priority Flagship mission recommended by the Planetary Decadal Survey. But...
- The President's FY'13 budget sharply cuts funding for planetary exploration, including Mars.
- The Decadal Survey was based on extensive community inputs, including MEPAG's. It provides:
  - Priorities for planetary exploration, including Mars.
  - Decision rules for adjusting the program if budget cuts occur.
- So: What do the Decadal Survey recommendations mean for Mars now?

# From the Statement of Task

- Recommendations on the **optimum balance** across the solar system and among small, medium, and large missions.
- Discovery: Flight investigations... should not be identified or prioritized.
- New Frontiers: A candidate list of mission objectives.
- Flagships: Specific destinations and science goals.
- **The prioritization of flight investigations of Mars and the Moon should be integrated with flight investigation priorities for other solar system objects.**

# Programmatic Balance

- Programmatic balance takes two forms:
  - Balance across the solar system, assuring that the most important scientific objectives are met.
  - Balance in mission sizes (small, medium large).
- A program of only infrequent large missions would result in long stretches of relatively little new data being generated, leading to a stagnant community.
- A program of only small and medium missions would be incapable of addressing the most important scientific challenges.
- *NASA's suite of planetary missions for the decade 2013-2022 should consist of a balanced mix of Discovery, New Frontiers, and Flagship missions, enabling both a steady stream of new discoveries and the capability to address larger challenges like sample return missions and outer planet exploration.*

# MEPAG's Decadal Input

- “The following mission building blocks are proposed for the coming decade:
  - “**TGM** to determine the abundances and spatial/temporal variations of trace gases and isotopes in the present atmosphere and their implications for life
  - “**NET** to explore the nature and history of the interior and the implications for the surface and atmospheric environments
  - “**MSR [MAX-C + Return Lander & Orbiter]** to return diverse suites of carefully chosen samples from a well-characterized site to Earth for detailed geological and astrobiological study.
- “*these steps would make the greatest progress to answering fundamental questions of Solar System science*, including the age-old question of whether Mars is today—or ever was—an abode of life.” -- **MEPAG White Paper**



# Mars Mission Studies Conducted

- Mars Trace Gas Orbiter
- Mars Network Mission
- Mars Polar Mission
- Skycrane Capabilities Study
- Mars Sample Return:
  - Mars Astrobiology Explorer with Caching
  - Mars Sample Return Lander
  - Mars Sample Return Orbiter

# Discovery Program

- The recommended Discovery mission cost cap is \$500 million.
- Discovery missions are selected via open competition and peer review, so no specific mission recommendations were made.
- *Mars missions can be proposed within the Discovery Program.*

# Mars Trace Gas Orbiter



- Joint mission with ESA: NASA provides most of the science payload, and the launch.
- *Carry out this mission as long as this division of responsibilities with ESA is preserved.*

# New Frontiers 4 and 5

- Select NF-4 from among:
  - Comet Surface Sample Return
  - Lunar South Pole-Aitken Basin Sample Return
  - Saturn Probe
  - Trojan Tour and Rendezvous
  - Venus In Situ Explorer
- Select NF-5 from among:
  - The remaining candidates from NF-4
  - Io Observer
  - Lunar Geophysical Network
- *Based on science return per dollar, no Mars missions were recommended for New Frontiers 4 or 5.*

# Flagship Missions

(in priority order)

1. Begin NASA/ESA Mars Sample Return campaign:  
*Descoped Mars Astrobiology Explorer-Cacher (MAX-C)*
2. Detailed investigation of a probable ocean in the outer solar system: *Descoped Jupiter Europa Orbiter (JEO)*
3. First in-depth exploration of an Ice Giant planet: *Uranus Orbiter and Probe*
4. Either *Enceladus Orbiter* or *Venus Climate Mission* (no relative priorities assigned)

# If Less Funding Is Available...

- Descope or delay Flagship missions.
- Slip New Frontiers and/or Discovery missions only if adjustments to Flagship missions cannot solve the problem.
- Place high priority on preserving R&A and technology development funding.

# Implications

- Protect R&A, Technology, Discovery and New Frontiers.
- *Fly a sample caching Mars mission only if:*
  - *The cost to NASA is no more than \$2.5 billion.*
  - *It leads realistically to sample return.*
- If this mission does not meet these criteria, second priority is JEO.
- If JEO is not affordable, third priority is Uranus Orbiter and Probe (\$2.7 billion).
- If UOP is not affordable, fourth priority is Venus Climate Mission (\$2.4 billion) or Enceladus Orbiter (\$1.9 billion).

# Summary

- Per the recommendations of the Planetary Decadal Survey:
  - New Mars missions that lead directly to sample return have high priority.
  - New Mars missions that do not lead directly to sample return should be openly competed via the Discovery program.